
people who scored 100 point in the nba Changes to the Game

Posted by parafuckintransit - 2010/02/22 18:17

1. Take out the blue lines. Just have to be onside crossing center red line. 2. 4 on 4 hockey. With expansion and the increased payrolls, this makes good sense. 3. Increase the blade curvature limit ...say a centimeter. 4. If the above three points fail to generate a more offensive game then simply decrease the size of the puck which will make the puck travel faster when shot. Ooh, good ideas! I think we should also: 5. Try to increase scoring by expanding the width of the goals to 6 ft. 6. Eliminate icing. 7. Add head-to-toe advertisements in gaudy colors on the players' uniforms. 8. Can you say 24-second shot clock ? 9. Add cheerleaders.

=====

people who scored 100 point in the nba Changes to the Game

Posted by Jesus Moriarty - 2010/02/22 18:17

1. Take out the blue lines. Just have to be onside crossing center red line. 2. 4 on 4 hockey. With expansion and the increased payrolls, this makes good sense. 3. Increase the blade curvature limit ...say a centimeter. 4. If the above three points fail to generate a more offensive game then simply decrease the size of the puck which will make the puck travel faster when shot. Ooh, good ideas! I think we should also: 5. Try to increase scoring by expanding the width of the goals to 6 ft. 6. Eliminate icing. 7. Add head-to-toe advertisements in gaudy colors on the players' uniforms. 8. Can you say 24-second shot clock ? 9. Add cheerleaders. -Out Of Bounds lines (to improve puck handling) -Allow two points for shots made from the neutral zone or beyond -Designated Body-checkers (Western Conference only) -Abstract crease shapes -Gather the players with the most finesse, speed, and shot accuracy, and have them form the hockey version of the Harlem Globetrotters.

=====

people who scored 100 point in the nba Changes to the Game

Posted by tazman - 2010/02/22 18:17

them form the hockey version of the Harlem Globetrotters. And; - Motorcycles from Rollerball with the spike tires from winter racing. - Explosive pucks ala Running Man, & what the heck razor Easton blades. - Add Curling rocks for obstacles - Make the rules as ambiguous as possible. (just a little tweaking needed there).

=====

people who scored 100 point in the nba Changes to the Game

Posted by JH - 2010/02/22 18:17

- Motorcycles from Rollerball with the spike tires from winter racing. - Explosive pucks ala Running Man, & what the heck razor Easton blades. - Add Curling rocks for obstacles - Make the rules as ambiguous as possible. (just a little tweaking needed there).

=====

people who scored 100 point in the nba Changes to the Game

Posted by Ted Soutar - 2010/02/22 18:17

Jesus Moriarty wrote in message <3693f44...@news3.enter.net... Ooh, good ideas! I think we should also: 5. Try to increase scoring by expanding the width of the goals to 6 ft. 6. Eliminate icing. 7. Add head-to-toe advertisements in gaudy colors on the players' uniforms. 8. Can you say 24-second shot clock ? 9. Add cheerleaders. -Out Of Bounds lines (to improve puck handling) -Allow two points for shots made from the neutral zone or beyond - Designated Body-checkers (Western Conference only) -Abstract crease shapes -Gather the players with the most finesse, speed, and shot accuracy, and have them form the hockey version of the Harlem Globetrotters. And; - Motorcycles from Rollerball with the spike tires from winter racing. - Explosive pucks ala Running Man, & what the heck razor Easton blades. - Add Curling rocks for obstacles - Make the rules as ambiguous as possible. (just a little tweaking needed there). To some NHL referees (Rod Schick comes to mind - so does Mick McGough), the rules are already as obscure as you're gonna get :) - Hide quoted text -- Show quoted text -

=====

people who scored 100 point in the nba Changes to the Game

Posted by Craignj - 2010/02/22 18:17

All the poor souls out there who want more offense will not be happy with anything short of 2 on 2, Hockey with no goalie, (or even 1 on 1 for that matter, Hey the first one to spell H.O.R.S.E wins.) GIMME A BREAK.

=====

people who scored 100 point in the nba Changes to the Game

Posted by Bill K. - 2010/02/22 18:17

Ooh, good ideas! I think we should also: 5. Try to increase scoring by expanding the width of the goals to 6 ft. Uhhh...isn't the width of the goals already 6 ft?

=====

people who scored 100 point in the nba Changes to the Game

Posted by parafuckintransit - 2010/02/22 18:17

5. Try to increase scoring by expanding the width of the goals to 6 ft. Uhhh...isn't the width of the goals already 6 ft? It seems everybody else was able to figure out that my typo meant to read by 6 ft, not to.

=====

people who scored 100 point in the nba Changes to the Game

Posted by TAZMAN - 2010/02/22 18:17

Uhhh...isn't the width of the goals already 6 ft? It seems everybody else was able to figure out that my typo meant to read by 6 ft, not to. No we were just giving you a Para break for those hockey-mentally challenged. ;-P

=====

people who scored 100 point in the nba Changes to the Game

Posted by bill smith - 2010/02/22 18:17

1. Cut the season to 72 games; it's too long as it is. 2. Install a wage scale (like the NBA) in return for earlier free agency. This way there wouldn't be all those silly holdouts. 3. Weight the schedule to more divisional games. There's no tradition or rivalries in the league; they need to build some. 4. Have shootout for ties and scrap the OT in te regular season only. Yeah, this kind of perverts the game, but I like the idea that both teams get one point and whoever wins the shootout can get a second. Besides, it's not like the regular season means anything. 5. Replace Bettman with someone who actually likes hockey.

=====

people who scored 100 point in the nba Changes to the Game

Posted by tazman - 2010/02/22 18:17

bill smith wrote in message <290-369C37D6...@newsd-151.iap.bryant.webtv.net... 1. Cut the season to 72 games; it's too long as it is. 2..... Hey, You! Get real! We're talking about things to make the game Better not things we'd like to see. Next you're going to say something like increase ice size, or get rid of Fox-trax, or some other pie-in-the-sky idea. You know we're trying to have a constructive discussion here and you're not helping! ;-P ;-P

=====

people who scored 100 point in the nba Changes to the Game

Posted by Alexander Beeser - 2010/02/22 18:17

5. Replace Bettman with someone who actually likes hockey. Who ? You ? As much as I dislike the current commissioner, I'd take his ignorance of the game over your outright meddling and trampling of the tradition of the game anyday. Sandy

=====

people who scored 100 point in the nba Changes to the Game

Posted by Jouni Karhu - 2010/02/22 18:17

Anyone who says that the shootout is a good idea makes me laugh. You can't have parity when some games are worth three points (to both teams) and some are worth two. I have no problem with saying that two teams in the regular season were equal and if they are equal then they split the points down the middle. You DONT go to some freakshow to decide which team is better. If you do want this why don't we go one game shootout. one game hardest slapshot, one game fastest lap around the ice etc ? If the regular season is meaningless then what's wrong with saying that one one night two teams in the regular season were equal ? Not that I'm advocating the use of shootouts in the NHL, but I do have some points about your stance (and of many others) on shootouts. I agree with you, by the way, that in regular season ties are all right. Boring, but all right. I mean, who wants crowdpleasers anyway? :) The point is that if the teams as a whole can not decide which is best, what is wrong in comparing individuals of those teams? The history is full of battles with single combats fought before or during the fighting :) About a shootout being a freakshow

=====

people who scored 100 point in the nba Changes to the Game

Posted by Cam Penner - 2010/02/22 18:17

About a shootout being a freakshow

=====

people who scored 100 point in the nba Changes to the Game

Posted by bill smith - 2010/02/22 18:17

First of all, I don't think Alexander Beezer looked at the NBA agreement. Naturally, the wage scale would be much different for the NHL than the NBA, but the point is it caps the maximum that players can earn. By capping the maximum, you cannot get into bidding wars, you cannot have the Rangers spending you under the table, every team has a salary cap. This will save the small market teams, not kill them, because you've instituted a system where everyone is spending close to the same. The camps have split on this weighted schedule thing and I'm with the group that says if you can't see every team, that's life. Baseball has two separate leagues with partial interleague play and they're doing just fine. I would prefer a rotating interdivisional play. The real solution is to never have let the league expand to this many teams, but it's too late to do anything about that unless bankruptcies start hitting. But right now, there are just too many uninteresting games (Tampa Bay - San Jose?). Normally, I don't go for gimmicks like shootouts. I think it's my disdain for the value of the regular season. But it would drastically change the game because you'd have the potential to pick up 20 pts/yr and it would put more focus on offense. Okay, this is one fish that I could throw back. As far as Bettman goes, no, I never said I wanted to be commissioner. But if you look at what has happened under his regime is this: players make more money than ever and there's more focus than ever on money (holdouts, player/owner threats, etc). For this, you get the genocide of Canadian teams, diluted talent, poorer quality of play, unprecedented team movement, and outpricing of families. Bettman came from the NBA - he was not a fan of hockey. All I'm saying is that there has to be a saavy businessman out there who loves hockey. Fusco went to Harvard Business School, what's he doing these days?

=====

people who scored 100 point in the nba Changes to the Game

Posted by tazman - 2010/02/22 18:17

Shootouts pick an arbitrary minimal skill set of a few select players and use it to determine the outcome of games played by multi skilled teams. Yep, but why go with anything less than a full decisive game, all skills competition will involve something less than the real thing, even 1 on 1 isn't realistic. But without these it's likely you'll end with a tie. I think no matter what the end result, anything less than full blown overtime will be a compromise, and we can kill these

players one night and expect them to play tomorrow or the next day. Tough spot!

=====

people who scored 100 point in the nba Changes to the Game

Posted by Cam Penner - 2010/02/22 18:17

I think no matter what the end result, anything less than full blown overtime will be a compromise, and we can kill these players one night and expect them to play tomorrow or the next day. Tough spot! agreed.

=====

people who scored 100 point in the nba Changes to the Game

Posted by Jouni Karhu - 2010/02/22 18:17

In article <369f2790.5246...@news.cc.tut.fi, kur...@modeemi.cs.tut.fi says... :) The point is that if the teams as a whole can not decide which is best, what is wrong in comparing individuals of those teams? The Hockey is a team sport. Emphasizing an individual over the team changes the game fundamentally. I wouldn't say that a shootout after 60 minutes of team play is emphasizing the individuals that much . . . The applicability of shootouts in tournaments depends a whole lot on the setup. If the semi-finals are one game only and the final is on the day after tomorrow, overtimes until someone wins would not be fair for the winner

=====

people who scored 100 point in the nba Changes to the Game

Posted by Alexander Beeser - 2010/02/22 18:17

unprecedented team movement, and outpricing of families. Bettman came from the NBA - he was not a fan of hockey. All I'm saying is that there has to be a savvy businessman out there who loves hockey. Fusco went to Harvard Business School, what's he doing these days? And hockey's exposure has grown tremendously under bettman, and he's also richened up the pot with 100's of millions in expansion fees to the league. Do I like the fact that hockey is getting beyond the reach of joe sixpack ? No but it's not bettmans fault. And for anyone to suggest that I'm pro bettman is silliy you won't find anyone who dislikes him more, but I'll base this opinion on things that he can actually control. Extending your argument thwe other way we should all personally thank garry bettman for the current state of the US economy, because ever since he has been commishioner, the economy has been going up up up. Sandy

=====

people who scored 100 point in the nba Changes to the Game

Posted by Alexander Beeser - 2010/02/22 18:17

About a shootout being a freakshow

=====

people who scored 100 point in the nba Changes to the Game

Posted by Chris Bailey - 2010/02/22 18:17

I saw the panthers leafs tie game last night and to the cats I say well done. Struggle back from three down in the third and set the tone in the overtime to get a point. It goes to a shootout and I'd say that the leafs on paper would likely win. This kills everything the cats did to struggle back into the game and gives the game to a team that blew a 3-0 lead in the third and got ragdolled in overtime. One simple question, how in the hell can anyone say that this is a fair representation of the talent and effort the cats put in ? I'm no big proponent of the shootout but on one hand I can see some interest in it. I enjoyed them watching the IHL Denver Grizzlies. The fans get to see some of their favorite players exhibit their hockey skills (without some grinder hooking the crap out of him). As far as the rewards go, the loser of the shootout still gets a point for tying in regulation/5 minute overtime. The winner of the shootout just gets a little more by getting an additional point. Chris Bailey

people who scored 100 point in the nba Changes to the Game

Posted by bill smith - 2010/02/22 18:17

Well, Sandy, Alexander ,or whoever The cap and free agency are tied together. It doesn't matter if someone is a free agent - if you are limited on how much money you can spend, there can't be this rampant inflation that you see. Theoretically (because no one has tried it yet), if salaries are capped out, as they are in the NBA, then you don't have to even have an agent because there would be little room to negotiate. Again, read the agreement. You're also quick to jump to the conclusion that the big market teams will quash the little market teams in any determination of spending levels. How many big market teams (billionaire owned) in hockey? The rangers, the flyers, maybe the bruins... this is not the NBA. If you think 'large' market teams will try to screw with a cap number, imagine what they would try to do if you asked them to share revenues! The inequity is also an international problem because the Canadian cities cannot economically keep up with American cities. A cap is at least an attempt to slow the spending; the system now attempts nothing. As far as the economic balance in sports, what are the healthiest sports? Football- cap, revenue sharing. NBA- cap, no revenue sharing. Baseball - headed for lockout - no cap, no revenue sharing. Hockey- worst shape of all 4 majors, no cap, no revenue sharing. And the NBA did the smart thing by locking out - solve your problems up front before teams start moving and you've given too much away (e.g., baseball). It took football a lawsuit and multiple work stoppages to realize this; baseball will do itself in again. Evidently you know nothing of San Jose because I live here! Hockey sells out for the Sharks because this is arguably the richest area in the United States. 60% of the people who attend games are real hockey fans and the other 40% are there for a social event or company giveaway. If the valley takes a downturn (like it did in the early 90's), the tank will be a morgue for a game like Tampa Bay. Fine, teams play 25 games against everyone else and the rest is focused within their division. The point is, a weighted schedule will help develop rivalries which you sorely need when you have so many new franchises. As far as today's hockey goes, I disliked the run and gun hockey of the late 80's also, but I would prefer over what I see today. Hockey is currently my cure for insomnia. The play-off games are like NJD versus Philadelphia. The Devils against Vancouver is zzzzzz. In the 80's, at least teams could field two lines; now it's more like 2 players. If you like today's hockey, more power to you, but IMHO, it's terrible compared to the 70's and 80's.

=====

people who scored 100 point in the nba Changes to the Game

Posted by Cam Penner - 2010/02/22 18:17

the rewards go, the loser of the shootout still gets a point for tying in regulation/5 minute overtime. The winner of the shootout just gets a little more by getting an additional point. Not as bad as a win/loss only, but still a twist in the game. A team with 3 excellent shooters, a hot goalie, and a bench full of clutch and grabbers could play every game for the tie, and pick up the extra points in the shootout.

=====

people who scored 100 point in the nba Changes to the Game

Posted by Kyle - 2010/02/22 18:17

In article <369E7EA0.6...@mailing.lists, ih...@mailing.lists says... Here are an observation and a question. I'm fortunate to watch quite a few games thanks to NHL Center Ice. I notice that the penalty shot is one of the most exciting moments in a hockey game (observing the announcers, fans, & players). My question is, why is it that experienced (die-hard) North American hockey fans hate shootouts but love the penalty shot? Isn't the penalty shot a sideshow? The it *is* exciting, but it is brought in for a specific reason. A scoring opportunity was taken away from a team and it is being restored. It's no more exciting than the original breakaway was, it is just given more hype and anticipation. penalty shot is the exact same activity as what happens during a shootout and it could have the same implications. Why aren't you guys The penalty shot is given to *restore* a scoring chance that was illegally taken away. Most penalty shots are awarded because the player already *had* a breakaway, and was pulled down from behind. The false breakaway of a penalty shot is awarded to allow the player to complete the chance he already had. Incidentally, the teams can't pick their top gunners to take the shot. Whoever was pulled down, if not injured on the play, is the guy that takes the shot. Who would ever see Tie Domi in a shootout? (Then again, never mind, I don't think he's ever had a penalty shot either....) Exactly. Any team would rather kill off a 2 minute penalty than see a 1 on the goalie breakaway. It would be too easy to kill any such breakaway by committing a penalty on the guy, thus preventing the shot. Interestingly enough, if the penalty occurs on a one on none (empty net), the player is awarded the goal. ___ Kyle ICQ #12773280 Uh-oh, someone just put me in a position of responsibility! The day has suddenly turned sinister.... Another Nugget Of Wisdom from the Wonderful World Of Hockey(TM)

=====

people who scored 100 point in the nba Changes to the Game

Posted by tazman - 2010/02/22 18:17

If there's a rebound, who cares? The play is dead anyway. It's a very artificial setting. That's what I meant, there is no one to clear the rebound, if players got a second chance it would likely be a no-brainer. It's rare that we can't score in warm-up when we get a crack at the rebounds. Anywho, just a comment on why it shouldn't be a factor. Still don't think we have a good answer, but I like the idea of EA sport's NHL99 or Air hockey as the tie breaker. No effort, and everyone can get into watching that, you could even hook NHL99 directly into the VTR and broadcast what the players see. Wel, maybe I shouldn't say anything, ABC may be looking for something to outdo Fox-Trax. :~O

=====